

# INTERNATIONAL AYURVEDIC **MEDICAL JOURNAL**







**Research Article** ISSN: 2320-5091 Impact Factor: 6.719

A COMPARATIVE CLINICAL STUDY TO EVALUATE THE EFFICACY OF JINGIN-YADI NASYA (ODINA WOODIER ROXB.) AND MANJISHTADI NASYA (RU-BIACORDIFOLIA) IN THE MANAGEMENT OF MANYASTAMBHA CERVICAL **SPONDYLOSIS** 

## Laxmi Patil<sup>1</sup>, Sudarshan A

<sup>1</sup>PG Scholar, Department of Panchakarma, Muniyal Institute of Ayurveda Medical Sciences, Manipal, Kamataka,

<sup>2</sup>HOD, PG Department of Panchakarma, Muniyal Institute of Ayurveda Medical Sciences, Manipal, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: <u>laxmipatil9850@gmail.com</u>

https://doi.org/10.46607/iamj0610082022

(Published Online: August 2022)

**Open Access** 

© International Ayurvedic Medical Journal, India 2022

Article Received: 14/07/2022 - Peer Reviewed: 02/08/2022 - Accepted for Publication: 12/08/2022



### **ABSTRACT**

Manyastambha is one of the NanatmajaVikara of Vata where the back of the neck becomes rigid, and the movements of the neck are impaired. It can be correlated to Cervical Spondylosis, also called arthritis of the neck. The ine of treatment mentioned by Acharya Vangasena in Manyastambha is JinginyadiNasya. So, the present clinical trial has been conducted on 40 patients to see the efficacy of JinginyadiNasya and Manjishtadi Nasya in the management of Manyastambha.

**Keywords:** *Manyastambha*, *Nasya*, *Vatavyadhi*, Cervical Spondylosis

### INTRODUCTION

In the present era of modernization and westernization, people are prone to numerous degenerative disorders because of sedentary, stressful, and fast lifestyles. Manyastambha is one such disease. In Ayurveda, it is enumerated under 80 Nanatmaja Vata vyadhi which has the dominancy of Vata-Kaphaja dosha<sup>1</sup>. Acharya Charaka has mentioned the importance of Vata in the phrase "Vayustantra Yantra....". Vata is life and Vitality. Vata is a supporter of all embodied beings, by this quotation it's clear that *Vata* is responsible for the healthy and diseased status of the individual<sup>2</sup>. Manyastambha is Urdhva JatrugataVikara and in classics, it is described as Manyaha-Kriyahani where in Kaphavrutavata is pathogenesis.In the modern system of medicines analgesics and corticosteroids though may provide some relief to the patients they are liable to produce many side effects by repeated and prolonged use. Nasya is convenient, time and cost-effective, above all Ayurveda Acharyas have told Nasya as the prime modality of treatment for UrdhvaJatrugataVikara.In Manyastambha and Apabahukachikitsa shloka Vangasena uses Jingini, whereas while explaining the verse the word Manjitha is used which is the synonym of Manjishta<sup>3</sup>.In Priya nighantu, the same verse is mentioned under the drug Jingini<sup>4</sup> (Odina woodier Roxb.).In Ashtanga Hridaya Agryadravyaadhyaya GudamanjaripurahNasya is mentioned under Skanda bahu rujah where *Gudamanjari* is the synonym of Jingini<sup>5</sup>.Hence keeping in view of all the above references and increasing incidence, a comparative clinical study to evaluate the efficacy of *Jinginyadi Nasya* (Odina woodier Roxb.) and *Manjishtadi Nasya* (Rubia Cordifolia) in the management of *Manyastambha* (Cervical Spondylosis) has been taken up for the study.

Previous studies and articles published in journals are done taking Jinghini as Manjishta. In my studies comparison between Jinghini and Manjishta was done by taking Jinghini as Odina's woodier roxb. And Manjishta as Rubia cordifolia.

### AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

To evaluate the comparative efficacy of *Jinginyadi-Nasya* (Odina woodier roxb) and *Manjishtadi Nasya* (Rubia cordifolia) in the management of *Manyastambha* (Cervical Spondylosis)

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The comparative clinical study was conducted to assess the efficacy of *JinginyadiNasya* (Odina woodier roxb) and *ManjishtadiNasya* (Rubia cordifolia) in the management of *Manyastambha*. Patients suffering from *Manyastambha* were selected from OPD, IPD of MIAMS, Manipal, and special camps conducted for the purpose according to preset inclusion and exclusion criteria.

### **Preparation of Medicine**

In Group A- Drugs used are

Table 01: In Group A- Drugs used

NAME	BOTANICAL NAME	PART USED	QUANTITY
Jingini	Odina woodier roxb	Niryasa	2gm
Guggulu	Commiphora Mukul	Niryasa	0.5gm
Sheetalajala	-	-	Q. S

### In Group B- Drugs used are

Table 02: In Group B- Drugs used

NAME	BOTANICAL NAME	PART USED	QUANTITY
Manjishta	Rubia Cordifolia	Twak	2gm
Guggulu	Commiphora Mukul	Niryasa	0.5gm
Sheetala Jala	-	-	Q. S

Group A-

• 2gms of *Jingini* and 0.5gm of *Guggulu* was soaked in quantity sufficient water for 6hrs and triturated in *khalva Yantra* for 30 minutes to prepare *kalka*.

 Obtained kalkawas squeezed into a clean cloth and administered

### Group B-

- 2gms of *Manjishta* and 0.5 gms of *Guggulu* were soaked in a quantity sufficient of water for 4hrs and triturated for 30minutes in *Khalva Yantra* to prepare *kalka*
- Obtained *kalka* was squeezed into a clean cloth and administered

#### **Treatment Schedule**

- The total duration of 14 days
- Treatment duration- 7 days course of *nasya* was given to patients
- Follow up- Readings were assessed on the 1<sup>st</sup>, and 7<sup>th</sup> day of treatment
- Second, follow up on the 14<sup>th</sup> day

POSOLOGY: 4bindu in each nostril.

### **INCLUSION CRITERIA:**

- Patients with classical symptoms of *Man-yastambha*
- Patients between the age group of 30-60 years
- Patients of either gender irrespective of Caste or religion
- Patients who are fit for Nasya

## **EXCLUSION CRITERIA:**

- Patients with systemic disorders
- Pregnant woman and lactating mother
- Patients who are on medication for Cortisones
- Patients with congenital deformities of the spine Grouping

Group A- 20 Patients received *JinginyadiNasya*Group B- 20 Patients received *ManjishtadiNasya* **PROCEDURE** 

The whole procedure was under three steps, *Purva*, *Pradhana*, and *Paschat karma*.

- Purva Karma- Sthanika Abhyanga with Murchitatilataila and BashpaSweda with Dashamoolakwathain both the groups<sup>6</sup>.
- Pradhana Karma- Nasya karma with Jinginyadi-Nasya in Group A and ManjishtadiNasya in Group B
- Paschat Karma- kavala with sukhoshnajalaand Dhoomapanawith Haridravarti. Proper rest and diet are advised for both the groups<sup>7</sup>.
- Paschat Karma- kavala with sukhoshnajala and Dhoomapana with Haridravarti. Proper rest and diet are advised.

## ASSESSMENT CRITERIA SUBJECTIVE PARAMETERS

### • 1. Neck pain

**Table 3 -** Pain Gradation

Symptoms	Grade	1 <sup>st</sup> day	7 <sup>th</sup> day	14 <sup>th</sup> day
No neck pain	0			
Neck pain aggravates with movement	1			
Neck pain aggravates without movement	2			
Neck pain which disturbs the sleep	3			

# 2. Stiffness in the Neck

# Table 4 – Stiffness Gradation

Stiffness	Grade	1st day	7 <sup>th</sup> day	14 <sup>th</sup> day
No stiffness	0			
Morning stiffness	1			
Later hour stiffness on the same day	2			
Continuous stiffness	3			

### **OBJECTIVE PARAMETERS**

### **Goniometer Examination**

**Table 5-** ROM Goniometric reading

Movement of Neck	1 <sup>st</sup> day	7 <sup>th</sup> day	14 <sup>th</sup> day
Flexion			
Extension			
Lateral flexion			

### **Assessment of clinical improvement**

Clinical improvement of the disease was based on improvement in the clinical finding and reductions in the severity of symptoms of the disease after treatment.

#### RESULTS

The results are assessed based on subjective criteria pain and stiffness and objective criteria Range of Movements (Goniometric readings). Both individual effect and comparative effect of both Group A (*Jinginyadi Nasya*) and Group B (*ManjishtadiNasya*) using Mann Whitney (between the group), Wilcoxon Signed Rank test (within the group), Paired and Unpaired t-test were assessed based on above Subjective and Objective criteria on 7<sup>th</sup> day and 14<sup>th</sup> Day

Table 06: Comparison of treatment on pain between Group-A and Group-B

Assessment Observations	Descriptive Statistics			Mann-Whitney U Test Ranks			Test Statistics		
	Groups	Mean ± SD	N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	U	Z	P-value	Significance
<b>Effect of Treatment</b>	Group-A	0.75 ± 0.71	20	14.10	282.00	72.0	3.662	P=0.000	VHS
	Group-B	1.80 ± 0.76	20	26.90	538.00				

NS= not significant, S=significant, HS=highly significant, VHS= very highly significant

The study reveals that the mean value of grading of pain after the treatment in Group-A was 0.75 and in Group-B was 1.80. The mean difference in pain grading was 58.4%. Mann-Whitney U test shows there

was a statistically very highly significant difference in mean grading of pain between Groups A and B(P<0.001).

**Table 07:** Comparison of treatment on stiffness between Group-A and Group-B

Assessment Observations	Descr	iptive Statistics		Mann-Whitney U Test Ranks			Test Statistics		
	Groups	Mean ± SD	N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	U	Z	P-value	Significance
Effect of Treatment	Group-A	$0.40 \pm 0.50$	20	20.10	402.00	192.0	0.251	P=0.841	NS
Treatment	Group-B	$0.50 \pm 0.68$	20	20.90	418.00				

NS= not significant, S=significant, HS=highly significant, VHS= very highly significant

The study reveals that the mean value of grading of stiffness after treatment in Group-A was 0.40 and in Group-B was 0.50. The difference in mean stiffness

grading was 20.0%. Mann-Whitney U test shows there was no statistically significant difference in the mean gradings of stiffness between Groups A and B(P>0.05).

**Table 08:** Comparison of the effect of Treatment on flexion between Group-A and Group-B

Groups	Mean ± SD	Diff. of mean in %	SE	Unpaired t-test and P-value	Significance
Group-A	$43.95 \pm 1.93$	1.7%	0.117	t = 1.603, P= 0.117	NS
Group-B	$44.70 \pm 0.80$				

NS= not significant, S=significant, HS=highly significant, VHS= very highly significant

The study reveals that the mean score of flexion in Group-A was 43.95 and the mean score of flexion in Group-B was 44.70, the mean score of flexion was

high in Group-B as compared to Group-A but not statistically significant was observed between the Groups (P>0.05).

**Table 09:** Comparison of the effect of Treatment on extension between Group-A and Group-B

Groups	Mean ± SD	Diff. of mean in %	SE	Unpaired t-test and P- value	Significance
Group-A	$35.05 \pm 1.87$	0.71%	0.250	t = 0.566, P= 0.575	NS
Group-B	$34.80 \pm 0.61$				

NS= not significant, S=significant, HS=highly significant, VHS= very highly significant

The study reveals that the mean score of extension in Group-A was 35.05 and the mean score of extension in Group-B was 34.80, the mean score of the exten-

sion was high in Group-A as compared to Group-B but not statistically significant was observed between the Groups (P>0.05).

**Table 10:** Comparison of the effect of Treatment on lateral flexion between Group-A and Group-B

Groups	$Mean \pm SD$	Diff. of	SE	Unpaired t-test and P-	Significance
		mean in %		value	
Group-A	$40.00 \pm 0.64$	0.25%	0.100	t = 0.567, P = 0.574	NS
Group-B	$39.90 \pm 0.44$				

NS= not significant, S=significant, HS=highly significant, VHS= very highly significant

The study reveals that the mean score of lateral flexion in Group-A was 40.0 and the mean score of lateral flexion in Group-B was 39.9, the mean score of lateral flexion was high in Group-A as compared to Group-B but not statistically significant was observed between the Groups (P>0.05).

## **DISCUSSION**

Manyastambha is vatakaphanubandhavyadhi where Vata is vitiated either by Avarana or Dhatukshaya. Ayurveda recommends various dravyas based on doshic theory to cure Manyastambha which mainly retard shotha and degeneration of tissues, these drugs also give bala to Greeva Mamsapeshi, boost dhatus and pacify kupithavatadosha. As per chikitsasiddhanta, kupitha doshas in manya region should be eliminated through the nearest root. Nasya balances Vata and kapha relives symptoms like Toda, Shoola, Arati,

and *stambha*. The *Vataharadravyas* used in *Nasya* suppress doshas by doing *SampraptiVighatana* of *Manyastambha* there by providing systemic relief to the patients. In the present study both the drugs were very highly significant in reducing pain, stiffness and in improving the range of movements.

## CONCLUSION

### Stambha-

By penetrating action of *Sukshmaguna*, and *the Vata shaman* quality of *Madhura* and *Lavana Rasa* of *Jingini* and *Manjishta* probably reduces the stiffness.

## Toda-

Nasya dravya gets properly absorbed in siras due to the vishada gunas of Guggulu causing Vatanulomana and may help in reducing the pricking sensation of Manyapradesh.

#### Ruk-

*Jingini* having the property of *Vataghna* subsides aggravated *Vata* and provides relief in Pain.

### Flexion and extension-

Nasya helps in the stimulation and nourishment of sira, snayuasthi, and majja of Manyawhich may probably contribute to improving the range of movements.

From the above interpretation it can be concluded that *Nasya*being the first line of treatment in *UrdhwajatrugataVikaras*, both *Jinginyadi* and *ManjishtadiNasya* have a significant effect in treating *Manyastambha*.

### **REFERENCES**

- Tripathi R.D. AgniveshaCharak Samhita with Vaidya Manorama hindi translation by Vidyadharshukla, Charakasamhita, Chaukhambha Sanskrit Pratishthan, Revised Edition 2010, Vol 1 pp-916, p-293
- Tripathi R.D. AgniveshaCharak Samhita with Vaidya Manorama hindi translation by Vidyadhar Shukla, Charakasamhita, Chaukhambha Sanskrit Pratishthan,

- Revised Edition 2010, Vol 1 pp-916, p-185
- 3. Tripati H, Vangasena Samhita, chaukhambha Sanskrit series office Varanasi, Edition 2009, pp-1002, p-669
- 4. Sharma P V, Priya Nighantu, ChaukhambhaS-urabhartiPrakashan Varanasi, Edition 2004, pp-275, p-135
- Kunte A M, Ashtanga Hrudaya Samhita of Vagbhatta, Chaukhambha Sanskrit Sansthan Varanasi, Edition 2012, pp-956, p-944.
- Anna MoreshwarKunte and Krishna Ramchandra Shastri Navre. Commentary:Sarvangasundara of Arunadatta and Ayurveda rasayana of Hemadri on Astangahridaya of Vagbhata, Sutrasthana Shloka no 17, Reprint, Varanasi: ChaukambhaSurabharatiPrakashan; 2002,290
- Anna MoreshwarKunte and Krishna Ramchandra Shastri Navre. Commentary: Sarvangasundara of Arunadatta and Ayurveda rasayana of Hemadri on Astangahridaya of Vagbhata, Sutrasthana Shloka no 20-22, Reprint, Varanasi:

   ChaukambhaSurabharatiPrakashan; 2002,290-291.

**Source of Support: Nil** 

**Conflict of Interest: None Declared** 

How to cite this URL: Laxmi Patil & Sudarshan A: A Comparative Clinical Study to Evaluate the Efficacy of Jinginyadi Nasya (Odina Woodier Roxb.) and Manjishtadi Nasya (Rubiacordifo-Lia) in the Management of Manyastambha Cervical Spondylosis. International Ayurvedic Medical Journal {online} 2022 {cited August 2022} Available from:

http://www.iamj.in/posts/images/upload/2070\_2075.pdf